

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/826,652	OLSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ted M Wang	2634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ted M Wang.

(2) Alan Pedersen-Giles.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 March 2005
**Time:** 7:50 a.m.
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26, and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, xxx. The instant application is in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

3/31/05  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)